

**TESTIMONY OF**  
**MARK BORKOWSKI**  
**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**  
**SECURE BORDER INITIATIVE**

**AND**

**MICHAEL FISHER**  
**CHIEF**  
**UNITED STATES BORDER PATROL**

**U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**BEFORE**

**HOUSE HOMELAND SECURITY COMMITTEE**  
**SUBCOMMITTEE ON MANAGEMENT, INVESTIGATIONS, AND**  
**OVERSIGHT**

**AND**

**SUBCOMMITTEE ON BORDER, MARITIME, AND GLOBAL**  
**COUNTERTERRORISM**

**June 17, 2010**  
**Washington, DC**

Chairman Carney, Chairman Cuellar, Ranking Member Bilirakis, Ranking Member Miller, and distinguished Members of the Committee, it is a privilege and an honor to appear before you today to discuss *SBI<sub>net</sub>*. I am Mark Borkowski, Executive Director of the Secure Border Initiative (SBI), and with me today is the Chief of the United States Border Patrol, Michael Fisher.

### **Departmental-Wide Assessment**

Before I begin to discuss where we are with *SBI<sub>net</sub>* development, I want to briefly discuss the Department-wide reassessment that was ordered by the Secretary back in January. As the Governor of Arizona, Secretary Napolitano became uniquely aware of the promises that were made about *SBI<sub>net</sub>* and the shortfalls it has faced. When she came into the Department, she took a hard look at our progress with *SBI<sub>net</sub>*. She gave my team at CBP a fair chance to prove that we were on the right track. She asked hard questions about the future of the program and the feasibility of where we were headed and directed then-Acting Commissioner Jayson Ahern to provide his assessment of the path forward for *SBI<sub>net</sub>*. Based upon the results of that review, she ordered a Department-wide reassessment of the program to determine if there are alternatives that may more efficiently, effectively and economically meet our nation's border security needs. Secretary Napolitano also ordered a freeze on all *SBI<sub>net</sub>* funding beyond *SBI<sub>net</sub>* Block 1's initial deployment to the Tucson and Ajo regions until the assessment is completed.

The Department-wide review is motivated by two major considerations. The first is that the continued and repeated delays in *SBI<sub>net</sub>* raise fundamental questions about *SBI<sub>net</sub>*'s viability and availability to meet the need for technology along the border. The second is that the high cost of *SBI<sub>net</sub>* obligates this administration to conduct a full and comprehensive analysis of alternative options to ensure we are maximizing the impact and effectiveness of the substantial taxpayer resources we are devoting to border security technology. Quite frankly, this type of investment can only be justified if you know exactly what you are going to get, and this type of comprehensive analysis of alternatives should have been undertaken years ago. Secretary Napolitano recognized the need for such due diligence, which is why we will conduct such an analysis under the review she ordered.

The assessment has an immediate and a long-term phase. In March, the Department announced it was redeploying \$50 million in Recovery Act funds that were scheduled to be spent on *SBI<sub>net</sub>* to alternative currently available, stand-alone technology, such as remote-controlled camera systems called Remote Video Surveillance Systems (RVSSs), truck-mounted systems with cameras and radar called Mobile Surveillance Systems (MSSs), thermal imaging devices, ultra-light detection, backscatter units, mobile radios, and cameras and laptops for pursuit vehicles, that will immediately improve our ability to secure the U.S.-Mexico border.

In the long-term phase, we will conduct a comprehensive, science-based assessment of alternatives to *SBI<sub>net</sub>* to ensure that we are utilizing the most efficient and effective technological and operational solutions in all of our border security efforts. If this analysis suggests that the *SBI<sub>net</sub>* capabilities are worth the cost, this administration will extend deployment of these capabilities. If this analysis suggests that alternative technology options

represent the best balance of capability and cost-effectiveness, this administration will assess options for redirecting resources to these stronger border technology options.

### **Role of Technology**

It has often been said that technology is one of three “pillars” that contribute to effective border security, with tactical infrastructure, such as physical fencing, and personnel being the other two. Physical fencing provides “persistent impedance”—that is, it delays the progress of people who attempt to cross our borders between the ports of entry. These delays, in turn, provide more opportunity for our Border Patrol agents to respond to and interdict those attempts. From 2006 through 2008, the bulk of our funding within SBI focused on completion of the physical fence along areas of the southwest border where Border Patrol determined it was operationally necessary. Since then, as that fence has largely been completed, we have shifted our funding focus more towards technology.

Technology is primarily used to provide continual monitoring and surveillance of a particular area, enhancing situational awareness for Border Patrol agents, detecting activity between the ports of entry and providing information about the type of activity (i.e. human or animal, vehicle or pedestrian, transporting contraband or not transporting contraband, etc.). This knowledge assists our Border Patrol agents in responding to and interdicting criminal activity, and enhances their safety by giving them information about the relative threat of any group or individual and about how best to approach the threat.

CBP has already deployed technology to several specific areas of the border. As mentioned above, we have deployed Remote Video Surveillance Systems (RVSSs), which allow personnel to keep an eye on selected areas by displaying pictures at a central dispatch location. We have also deployed Mobile Surveillance Systems (MSSs), which use truck-mounted radar and camera to provide greater situational awareness to operators in the field. Finally, we have deployed Unattended Ground Sensors (UGS), which can detect movement in their vicinity. All of these systems provide important information to the Border Patrol about activity in a particular area.

The goal of *SBI<sub>net</sub>* was to network a set of sensors that cover a wide area into a Common Operating Picture, or COP – in contrast to the individual, stand-alone systems described above, which are very useful and relatively inexpensive, but also labor-intensive and limited in coverage. By depicting a large amount of information in a small space, *SBI<sub>net</sub>* was designed to allow fewer personnel to monitor and direct operations across a larger area. Border Patrol agents would be able to observe, manage, and respond to multiple events more effectively.

### **SBI<sub>net</sub> Block 1**

With respect to the development of *SBI<sub>net</sub>*, it is clear that progress has been slower than anticipated. Recent testing suggests that *SBI<sub>net</sub>* Block 1 has demonstrated some progress, but the time it has taken us to get to this point is extremely discouraging and frustrating. As a partial mitigation to the delays, we worked with Boeing to make a change in our plans so that the Border Patrol could use parts of the system that are not yet fully complete “as is” while engineering work continued. The Border Patrol has been using these parts of the system in this

capacity since February 6<sup>th</sup> and the feedback has been positive from agents on the frontlines. The next steps involve completing our engineering work and conducting formal testing. We expect to conduct System Acceptance Testing through August, and then to turn the system over to the Border Patrol for formal Operational Testing and Evaluation starting in September.

Construction on a second part of the system, known as Ajo-1, was delayed for several reasons, including technical concerns and environmental considerations. Ajo-1 is located in an environmentally sensitive area, so we have worked very closely with the Department of the Interior to ensure that we protected it appropriately. Much of the Ajo-1 Area of Responsibility (AoR) has been constructed, and most of the system will be completed by August. We will then conduct acceptance and operational testing of Ajo-1 through the end of this calendar year.

### **SBI Efforts on the Northern Border**

In addition to our activities on the southwest border, CBP has continued to make investments in technology on the northern border to enhance situational awareness and capabilities of the Border Patrol.

As a part of SBI's Northern Border Project, CBP has deployed proven surveillance systems, including Remote Video Surveillance Systems (RVSS) and Mobile Surveillance Systems (MSS), to the Buffalo, Detroit, and Swanton Border Patrol Sectors. Two MSSs were deployed to the Swanton Sector in 2009. The Buffalo Sector deployment, completed in February 2010, consists of 5 RVSS sites along the upper Niagara River, expanding upon an earlier deployment of 4 remote video surveillance cameras in 2003. The Detroit Sector deployment consists of 1 MSS and 11 RVSS sites along the St. Clair River, covering approximately 35 miles from Lake Huron to Lake St. Clair. Ten of the sites are completed and operational, with the eleventh scheduled for completion by the end of the year.

These technology deployments provide an immediate capability to help Border Patrol agents expand their ability to detect, identify, classify, respond to, and resolve illegal cross-border activity, while providing lessons learned that will enable CBP to design better-tailored, longer-term technology options for the northern border. CBP chose the Buffalo, Detroit, and Swanton Sectors based on the needs of the Border Patrol and the unique operational area, which includes coastal maritime, river, urban and rural environments.

In the FY2009 Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act (P.L. 110-329), Congress directed \$40 million within the Border Security, Fencing, Infrastructure, and Technology account towards a "Northern border security technology investment." CBP is using these funds to conduct a demonstration of capabilities in the Detroit area that will attempt to integrate sensors and data from a variety of sources. The goals of the pilot project are to improve operational integration of border security efforts in the Detroit area, improve detection capabilities in the vicinity of the St. Clair River area, and enhance situational awareness for CBP and their mission partners in the region.

To that end, construction is currently underway for the establishment of an Operational Integration Center (OIC). The OIC will provide a collaborative work area and communications

capabilities for representatives of CBP, U.S. Coast Guard, other DHS components, federal law enforcement agencies, state and local law enforcement, and Canadian officials. This facility will serve as a laboratory for border security agencies to explore and evaluate enhanced border security capabilities. Additionally, the OIC is intended to enhance situational awareness by providing multiple information feeds within one single location. Initial operations at the OIC are scheduled to begin October 2010.

## **Conclusion**

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, we recognize that the *SBI*net program has been a frustration. This Committee and the entire Congress has been supportive and patient with us as we have worked through issues and delays encountered by the program. The comprehensive review ordered by Secretary Napolitano demonstrates that she shares your concern. Technology along the border is of critical importance to our national security and the safety and effectiveness of our Border Patrol agents working in the field. We need to ensure that we provide them with proven, cost-effective tools that will help them do their jobs and keep our nation safe – whether that means large scale networks like *SBI*net or stand-alone technology I mentioned above. One thing is clear: the Secretary's review will require all of us to go back and take a hard look at the assumptions that were made in the past, and it will ensure that we proceed in a manner that both bolsters the security of our nation's borders while making the most out of the resources that have been devoted to technology solutions to our border security challenges. We look forward to answering your questions.